Application No.: 09/751,761
Response to Final Office Action dated: September 26, 2006
Final Office Action dated: June 26, 2006

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

SEP 2 6 2006

AMENDMENTS TO THE CLAIMS

1-19   (Cancelled)

20.   (Previously Presented) A method comprising:

detecting a stall in an execution stage of a processor core;

generating a neutral instruction;

providing said neutral instruction to said execution stage; and

executing said neutral instruction to ascertain an architectural state value for said

processor core;

comparing said architectural state value for said processor core to an architectural state

value for a second processor core.

21.   (Previously Presented) The method of claim 20 wherein said neutral instruction is

generated when a plurality of instructions are generated by a compiler.

22.   (Previously Presented) The method of claim 20 wherein said neutral instruction is

generated by a No-operation (NOP) pseudo-random generator.

23.   (Previously Presented) The method of claim 22 wherein the execution of said neutral

instruction causes said processor core to access a value stored in a register in said processor core.

- 2 -

SJ01 92710 v1

PAGE 5/11 * RCVD AT 9/26/2006 6:56:39 PM [Eastern Daylight Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-1/11 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID:14089757501 * DURATION (mm-ss):04-12

Application No.: 09/751,761
Response to Final Office Action dated: September 26, 2006
Final Office Action dated: June 26, 2006

24. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 20 wherein the execution of said neutral instruction causes said processor core to access a value stored in a register in said processor core.

25. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 20 wherein said neutral instruction is generated by a post-processor device.

26. (Previously Presented) A system comprising:

stall logic coupled to an execution stage of a processor core to detect a stall in said execution; and

comparison logic coupled to said execution stage, wherein upon occurrence of the stall said execution stage is to execute a neutral instruction to ascertain an architectural state value for said processor core and compare said architectural state value with an architectural state value for a second processor core.

27. (Previously Presented) The system of claim 26 wherein said neutral instruction is generated by a compiler.

28. (Currently Amended) The system of claim 26 ~~further comprising~~ wherein:

~~a No-operation (NOP) pseudo-random generator coupled to the execution unit of said~~

~~processor core to generate said neutral instruction.~~

said neutral instruction is generated by a No operation (NOP) pseudo-random generator.

- 3 -

SJO1 92710 v1

Application No.: 09/751,761
Response to Final Office Action dated: September 26, 2006
Final Office Action dated: June 26, 2006

29.   (Previously Presented) The system of claim 28 wherein the processor core includes a

register and the execution of said neutral instruction causes said processor core to access a value

stored in the register in said processor core.

30.   (Previously Presented) The system of claim 29 wherein said neutral instruction includes

ORing the contents of said register with itself.

31.   (Previously Presented) The system of claim 29 wherein said neutral instruction includes

ANDing the contents of said register with all binary 1 values.

32.   (Previously Presented) The system of claim 29 wherein said neutral instruction includes

ORing the contents of said register with all binary 0 values.

33.   (Previously Presented) A set of instructions residing in a storage medium, said set of

instructions capable of being executed in an execution stage by a processor core for

implementing a method to test the processor core, the method comprising:

        detecting a stall in an execution stage of a processor core;

        generating a neutral instruction;

        providing said neutral instruction to said execution stage;

        executing said neutral instruction to ascertain an architectural state value for said

processor core; and

- 4 -

Application No.: 09/751,761
Response to Final Office Action dated: September 26, 2006
Final Office Action dated: June 26, 2006

comparing said architectural state value to an architectural state value for a second

processor core.

34.   (Previously Presented) The set of instructions of claim 33 wherein in said method said

neutral instruction is generated when a plurality of instructions are generated by a compiler.

35.   (Previously Presented) The set of instructions of claim 33 wherein in said method said

neutral instruction is generated by a No-operation (NOP) pseudo-random generator.

36.   (Previously Presented) The set of instructions of claim 35 wherein in said method the

execution of said neutral instruction causes said processor core to access a value stored in a

register in said processor core.

37.   (Previously Presented) The set of instructions of claim 33 wherein in said method the

execution of said neutral instruction causes said processor core to access a value stored in a

register in said processor core.

38.   (Previously Presented) The set of instructions of claim 33 wherein in said method said

neutral instruction is generated by a post-processor device.

- 5 -

SJO1 92710 v1